## Sheldrake, Sean

From: Sheldrake, Sean

**Sent:** Wednesday, May 22, 2013 2:43 PM

To: 'Ryan Barth'

**Cc:** Peterson, Lance; Carl Stivers; Bob Wyatt; Patty Dost; Ben Hung; James Peale;

WEARLE@davisrothwell.com; 'agladstone@davisrothwell.com'; myron.burr@siltronic.com;

Dana Bayuk (bayuk.dana@deg.state.or.us); Humphrey, Chip; Koch, Kristine

Subject: RE: NW Natural Response to EPA Letter on US Moorings Sediments Area, Gasco Sediments

Site

Attachments: NWN Siltronic Moorings 5 22 13.pdf; NWN Siltronic Moorings 11 29 12.pdf

Ryan, Bob,

Please see EPA's letter to respond to your May 15 transmittal. Let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you.

S

Sean Sheldrake, Unit Diving Officer, RPM EPA Region 10, 1200 Sixth Ave., Suite 900 Seattle, WA 98101 206.553.1220 desk 206.225.6528 cell

http://yosemite.epa.gov/r10/cleanup.nsf/sites/ptldharbor

http://www.epa.gov/region10/dive/

Like us on Facebook! https://www.facebook.com/EPADivers

From: Ryan Barth [mailto:rbarth@anchorgea.com]

Sent: Wednesday, May 15, 2013 4:47 PM

To: Sheldrake, Sean

Cc: Peterson, Lance; Carl Stivers; Bob Wyatt; Patty Dost; Ben Hung; James Peale; WEARLE@davisrothwell.com;

'agladstone@davisrothwell.com'; myron.burr@siltronic.com

Subject: NW Natural Response to EPA Letter on US Moorings Sediments Area, Gasco Sediments Site

On behalf of NW Natural, attached please find a technical analysis of the US Moorings sediments area issue per your request in item 1 below. Thanks.

Ryan Barth, P.E. Anchor QEA, LLC rbarth@anchorqea.com 720 Olive Way, Suite 1900 Seattle, Washington 98101 Office: 206.287.9130

Direct: 206.903.3334 Fax: 206.287.9131 Cell: 206.719.3605

From: Sheldrake, Sean [mailto:sheldrake.sean@epa.gov]

Sent: Friday, May 10, 2013 4:33 PM

To: Bob Wyatt

Cc: Ryan Barth; Carl Stivers; Peterson, Lance; Dana Bayuk

Subject: RE: Gasco Sediments Site

Bob,

This email serves as a schedule extension to the 30 day workplan requirement, as noted below. However, I do need to point out that the dispute timeframe has past for the EPA requirement of modification of the draft ee/ca removal action area for further ee/ca consideration (next revision) or alternatively, obtaining additional samples (see attached). EPA is amenable to looking at alternate schedule proposals from NWN as well as new information of course as you've suggested below. Accordingly, I've noted that dispute of a workplan timeframe can be held until after the meeting to ensure adequate time is given for development of a plan, but not the substance of what is set out in the November 29, 2012 letter for which the dispute timeframe has already passed.

## Revised schedule:

- 1. NW Natural submits a technical analysis of the issue by next Friday (May 17th, 2013).
- 2. Within 30 days of that date NW Natural will meet with EPA to discuss the evaluation and to determine if EPA still believes additional samples may be necessary. EPA will extend the deadline for initiating dispute resolution concerning the work plan *deadline* until 7 days after the meeting.
- 3. A workplan to fulfill requirements of the November 29, 2012 letter is due 90 days from today's date, unless otherwise approved by EPA.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you.

S

Sean Sheldrake, Unit Diving Officer, RPM
EPA Region 10, 1200 Sixth Ave., Suite 900
Seattle, WA 98101
206.553.1220 desk
206.225.6528 cell
http://yosemite.epa.gov/r10/cleanup.nsf/sites/ptldharbor
http://www.epa.gov/region10/dive/

Like us on Facebook! https://www.facebook.com/EPADivers

From: Wyatt, Robert [mailto:rjw@nwnatural.com]

**Sent:** Friday, May 10, 2013 4:05 PM

To: Sheldrake, Sean Cc: Ryan Barth; Carl Stivers Subject: Gasco Sediments Site

Hi Sean,

It was great talking with you this afternoon. As we discussed, NW Natural would like to revise the schedule for responding to your May 1st, 2013 request for a work plan. My proposal for revising the schedule is as follows:

1. NW Natural submits a technical analysis of the issue by next Friday (May 17th, 2013).

- 2. Within 45 days of that date NW Natural will meet with EPA to discuss the evaluation and to determine if EPA still believes additional samples may be necessary. EPA will extend the deadline for initiating dispute resolution concerning the work plan request until 7 days after the meeting.
- 3. If sampling is not required we would not develop a sampling plan.
- 4. If EPA still believes that sampling is necessary we would evaluate data needs, data use and data quality objectives to be used in the development of a work plan. The schedule for work plan development would be produced at that time.

I agree with your goal of having a clear project timeline, and I appreciate your willingness to consider this revised schedule approach.

I hope you have a great weekend,

Bob